

# PROPOSITIONAL LOGIC

Adnan Shahzada

# LOGIC

- Logic is concerned with the reasoning and the validity of arguments.
- Based on Truth Values (True, False)
- A representational method used in AI
- Formal system to describe states of affairs
  - Syntax
  - Semantics

# Syntax

- How the symbols in a language can be put together in a correct way
- Does NOT imply anything about the correctness about their interpretation (  $3 = 2$  is syntactically correct)
- Can be expressed in a formal way

# PROPOSITIONAL LOGIC

- Symbols:
  - True
  - False
  - Proposition symbols
  - Logical connectives
  - Parenthesis ()
- Sentences
  - True & False are sentences
  - Propositional symbol, e.g., is a sentence by itself
  - Wrapping parenthesis around a sentence yields a sentence, e.g.,  $(P \wedge Q)$
  - Can be formed from simpler sentences by using connectives

# CONNECTIVES

- $\wedge$ : Conjunction (parts are conjuncts)
- $\vee$ : Disjunction (parts are disjuncts)
- $\Rightarrow$ : Implication:  $(P \wedge Q) \Rightarrow R$ . Premise or antecedent is  $(P \wedge Q)$  and consequent is  $R$ . Implications are also called rules or if-then statements.
- $\neg$ : Not. The sentence  $\neg P$  is called negation of  $P$
- $\Leftrightarrow$ : Double Implication !!

# SENTENCES IN PROPOSITIONAL LOGIC

- Sentence  $\rightarrow$  Atomic sentence | Complex sentence
- Atomic sentence  $\rightarrow$  True | False | Symbol
- Symbol  $\rightarrow$  P | Q | R | ...
- Complex sentence  $\rightarrow$  (Sentence)
  - | Sentence (some connective) sentence
  - |  $\neg$  Sentence
- Connective  $\rightarrow \vee$  |  $\wedge$  |  $\Leftrightarrow$  |  $\Rightarrow$

# Sentences

- If  $P$  and  $Q$  are sentences, then
  - $\neg P$
  - $P \vee Q$
  - $P \wedge Q$
  - $P \Rightarrow Q$
  - $Q = \text{false}$
  - $(Q)$
- are also sentences

# Ambiguity in Grammar

- Our grammar as defined is ambiguous
- Resolve this with operator precedence
- highest  $\neg$  |  $\wedge$  |  $\vee$  |  $\Rightarrow$  |  $=$  lowest
- ex:  $\neg P \wedge Q \vee R \Rightarrow S$  is in fact  $((\neg P) \vee (Q \wedge R)) \Rightarrow S$
- Use of brackets to remove ambiguity



# Semantics

- Defines our interpretation of symbols and expressions.
- Symbols means whatever facts you like
  - P could mean “Sky is blue”
  - Q could mean “Elephant is an animal”
  - Truth symbols (often T and F) are obvious
- Note that is generally much harder to formally define semantics than syntax.

# MODEL

- Any world in which a sentence is true under a particular interpretation is called a model
- Models are mathematical objects
- A model fixes truth values for every propositional symbol
  - Truth values are true or false
  - True: The fact holds (the way the world is)
  - False: The fact does not hold (the way the world is not)
- Truth tables
  - Describe output of logical functions
  - Test for valid sentences
- Define truth tables for each symbol

# Truth table

P	Q	$P \Leftrightarrow Q$
f	f	t
t	f	f
f	t	f
t	t	t

P	Q	$P \Rightarrow Q$
f	f	t
t	f	f
f	t	t
t	t	t

We have 4 models/possible worlds

# Identities

double negation

$$\neg(\neg P) = P$$

unit resolution

$$(P \vee Q) = (\neg P \Rightarrow Q)$$

contraposition

$$(P \Rightarrow Q) = (\neg Q \Rightarrow \neg P)$$

De Morgan

$$\neg(P \wedge Q) = (\neg P \vee \neg Q)$$

$$\neg(P \vee Q) = (\neg P \wedge \neg Q)$$

# RULES OF INFERENCE

- Inference rules provide a computationally feasible way to determine when an expression logically follows from another.
- Modus Ponens  
 $\alpha \Rightarrow \beta, \alpha \vdash \beta$
- Modus Tolens  
 $\alpha \Rightarrow \beta, \neg \beta \vdash \neg \alpha$
- And-Elimination  
 $\alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha_n \vdash \alpha_i$
- And Introduction  
 $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n \vdash \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha_n$

# RULES OF INFERENCE

- Or-introduction

$$\alpha_i \vdash \alpha_1 \vee \alpha_2 \vee \dots \vee \alpha_n$$

- Double negation elimination

$$\neg \neg \alpha \vdash \alpha$$

- Unit resolution

$$\alpha \vee \beta, \neg \beta \vdash \alpha$$

- Resolution: Very important rule of inference

$$\alpha \vee \beta, \neg \beta \vee \gamma \vdash \alpha \vee \gamma \text{ (resolvent)}$$

$$\neg \alpha \Rightarrow \beta, \beta \Rightarrow \gamma \vdash \neg \alpha \Rightarrow \gamma$$

# SOUNDNESS / COMPLETENESS

- Sound: correct
- Complete: No other rule required